



SAU 1763

DOCKET NO.: 3442

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR PATENT

OF: Tomohiro Kawase et al.

| Art Unit: 1763

SERIAL NO.: 08/843,124

| Examiner: E. Defillo

FILED: April 25, 1997

|

FOR: METHOD OF PREPARING GROUP III-V
COMPOUND SEMICONDUCTOR CRYSTAL

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
BOX NO-FEE AMENDMENT
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20231

September 11, 1998

TRANSMITTED HEREWITH IS A RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION OF JUNE 11, 1998 IN THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION COMPRISING 19 PAGES. A PARTIAL TRANSLATION OF THE YAMAMOTO REFERENCE IS ENCLOSED.

NO ADDITIONAL FEE IS REQUIRED, AS SHOWN BELOW.

CLAIMS RE- MAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	SMALL ENTITY	OR	LARGE ENTITY	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL: 23	MINUS: 23	= 0	X = \$		X = \$	= \$ 0
INDEP: 3	MINUS: 3	= 0	X = \$		X = \$ 0	= \$ 0
					TOTAL ADDITION. FEE DUE:	= \$ 0

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that this RESPONSE...WITH ENCLOSURES, is being mailed on: September 11, 1998, as first-class mail, with sufficient postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, Washington, D. C. 20231.

Walter F. Fasse - September 11, 1998

Walter F. Fasse 9/11/98



DOCKET NO.: 3442

449
9/18/98
R

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR PATENT

OF: Tomohiro Kawase et al. | Art Unit: 1763
SERIAL NO.: 08/843,124 | Examiner: E. Defillo
FILED: April 25, 1997 |
FOR: METHOD OF PREPARING GROUP III-V
COMPOUND SEMICONDUCTOR CRYSTAL

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20231

September 11, 1998

RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION OF JUNE 11, 1998, INCLUDING AN
ATTACHED COVER SHEET WITH CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Dear Sir:

No further amendments are presented in this Response, and the claims are maintained as follows.

Claims 1 to 20 remain as previously amended.

Claims 21 to 23 are maintained unchanged.

REMARKS:

RECEIVED
CSCP 17 SEP 8 1998
GROUP 1500

- 1) Referring to section 1 on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner's presumption is correct that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made.

- 2) The rejections of claims 1 to 23 are respectfully traversed, as will be discussed in detail below. However, before particularly